



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/928,618 08/13/2001		Jean-Francois Latour	P5477 US	7991	
35690	7590 04/22/2005		EXAMINER		
MEYERTO P.O. BOX 39	NS, HOOD, KIVLIN,	TRUONG, CAMQUY			
	X 78767-0398	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
•			2195		

DATE MAILED: 04/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/928,6	18	LATOUR, JEAN	I-FRANCOIS		
Office Action	on Summary	ary Examiner		Art Unit	Init		
		Camquy	Truong	2127			
The MAILING DA	TE of this communication		-	the correspondence	address		
THE MAILING DATE O  - Extensions of time may be ava after SIX (6) MONTHS from the  - If the period for reply specified  - If NO period for reply is specifie  - Failure to reply within the set of	JTORY PERIOD FOR RE F THIS COMMUNICATIO illable under the provisions of 37 CFI e mailing date of this communication above is less than thirty (30) days, a ad above, the maximum statutory per extended period for reply will, by st e later than three months after the m . See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no evo b. a reply within the state ariod will apply and within the cap	ent, however, may a reputory minimum of thirty Il expire SIX (6) MONTI	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered tin  HS from the mailing date of this  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1) Responsive to co	mmunication(s) filed on <u>1</u>	3 August 2001	•				
2a) This action is FIN	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this applica	tion is in condition for allo	wance except	for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to t	he merits is		
closed in accorda	nce with the practice und	er Ex parte Qu	ayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/a	re pending in the applicat	tion.					
	claim(s) is/are with		nsideration.				
5) Claim(s) is							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/a	•				•		
7) Claim(s) is	/are objected to.						
	e subject to restriction an	nd/or election re	equirement.				
Application Papers							
9) The specification is	s objected to by the Exam	niner.					
	d on is/are: a)☐ :		objected to by	v the Examiner.			
	equest that any objection to				•		
	ng sheet(s) including the cor			, ,			
11) The oath or declar							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment i		eign priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	e * c)□ None of:		· ·	( ) ( )			
1.⊠ Certified co	pies of the priority docum	ents have bee	n received.				
	pies of the priority docum			olication No			
	ne certified copies of the p				al Stage		
	from the International Bur				ŭ		
* See the attached de	etailed Office action for a	list of the certif	fied copies not re	eceived.			
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (				mmary (PTO-413)			
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Pat</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure State Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/13/</u></li> </ul>				Mail Date  prmal Patent Application (P  .	TO-152)		
I.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Offic	e Action Summa			No./Mail Date 1		

Application/Control Number: 09/928,618

Art Unit 2127

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Claims 1-40 are presented for examination.
- 2. It is noted that although the present application does contain line numbers in the specification and claims, the line numbers in the claims do not correspond to the preferred format. The preferred format is to number each line of every claim, with each claim beginning with line 1. For ease of reference by both the examiner and Applicant all future correspondence should include the recommended line numbering.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 6-13, 15-25, 27-35, 37-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Perotto et al. (U.S. Patent 5,630,130).
- 4. As to claims 1, 10, 19 and 32, Perotto teaches the invention substantially as claimed including: a resource access control mechanism for a multi-thread computing environment (col. 5, lines 3-4), the mechanism being operable:

one or more mutexes, wherein the sequence of mutexes is associated with a resource (col. 5, lines 5-6);

when a requesting thread attempts an access to the resource, to lock a mutex, wherein the locked mutex is allocated to the requesting thread (col. 5, lines 6-7), and

to attempt to lock a previous mutex in sequence if present, whereby the requesting thread is suspended it the previous mutex is already locked until the previous thread finishing access to the resource (col. 5, lines 8-12; col. 5, line 66 – col. 5, line 5).

- 5. Perotto does not explicitly teach that <u>managing</u> a sequence of one or more mutexes. However, Perotto teaches semaphores are use to control access to share resource (col. 5, lines 5-6).
- 6. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of Perotto because Perotto's managing a sequence of mutexes as claimed would increase the flexibility of Perotto's system by providing the step of managing mutexes to increase the effective size of the task performed by the microprocessor and reduce its operating speed and power consumption.

7. As to claim 22, it is reject for the same reason as claim 1 above. In addition, Perotto teaches:

A Processor (microprocessor, col. 1, line 2);

A memory storing a method for controlling access to a resource for a multi-thread computing environment wherein upon execution of said method on said processor (col. 1, lines 2-15).

- 8. As to claims 2, 11, 23, 33, Perotto teaches the mechanism being operable, on attempting to lock a previous mutex in the sequence when the previous mutex is unlocked, to lock the previous mutex on behalf of the requesting thread and then to unlock the previous mutex on behalf of the requesting thread (col. 5, lines 5-10).
- 9. As to claims 3, 12, 24, and 34, Perotto teaches the resource access control mechanism unlocks the mutex allocated to the requesting thread in response to the requesting thread completing access to the resource (col. 5, lines 8-9).
- 10. As to claims 4, 13, 25 and 35, Perotto teaches the mechanism includes an internal mutex operable to protect the locking of the mutex allocated to the requesting thread (col. 5, lines 9-12).

- 11. As to claims 6-9, 15-18, 27-30 and 37-40, Perotto does not explicitly teach the sequence of mutexes is held in an array, a ring buffer, a linked list and a circular linked list. However, it is well known to those skilled in the art, that array, a ring buffer, a linked list and a circular linked list are used to hold a list of nodes or elements of a data structure connected by pointers. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included an array, a ring buffer, a linked list and a circular linked list because they would be desirable to perform the customization the most efficient manner possible.
- 12. As to claims 20-21, Perotto teaches the carrier medium comprise a storage medium (col. 1, lines 9-12).
- 13. As to claim 31, Perotto teaches the method stored in the memory comprise a computer program (col. 1, lines 23-30).
- 14. Claims 5, 14, 26 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Perotto et al (U.S. Patent 5,630,130), as applied to claims 1, 10, 19, and 32 above, in view of Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA).

- 15. As to claims 5, 14, 26 and 36, Perotto does not explicitly teach the resource comprise a print function. However, AAPA teaches the resource comprise a print function (page 2, lines 15-16).
- 16. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings Perotto and AAPA because AAPA's print function, as resource would provide a more efficient solution to the provision of serializing thread access to printer resources.

## Conclusion

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Camquy Truong whose telephone number is (571) 272-3773. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM – 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on 571-272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-3756.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR of Public PAIP. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIP system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197(toll-free).

Camquy Truong

April 7, 2005

MENG-AL T. AN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100